

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Group Art Unit 2853

In re

Patent Application of

Richard N. Codos, et al.

Application No. 10/827,097

Confirmation No.: 1465

Filed: April 19, 2004

Examiner: Ly T. Tran

"METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INK  
JET PRINTING ON RIGID PANELS"



I, Karen J. Kline, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the US Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date of my signature.

Signature

Date of Signature

01/04/2007 SSITHIB1 00000098 10827097 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §1.97(b)  
01 FC:1806 180.00 OP

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner's attention is directed to the references which are listed on the attached Form PTO/SB/08B and copies of non-U.S. patent references are attached.

Citation of these references is respectfully requested.

Applicant recognizes that he is submitting a voluminous quantity of references.

However, Applicant believes that these references are required for submission by MPEP § 2001.06(c), governing information from related litigation, as well as relevant federal case law. See Critikon, Inc. v. Becton-Dickinson Vascular Access, Inc., 120 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Golden Valley Microwave Foods, Inc. v. Weaver Popcorn Co., Inc., 837 F. Supp. 1444 (N.D. Ind. 1992); Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc. v. Schering-Plough Corp., 68 F. Supp. 2d 508 (D. N.J. 1999); DaimlerChrysler AG v. Feuling Advanced Technologies, Inc., 276 F. Supp. 2d 1054 (S.D. Cal. 2003).

In an effort to help orient the Examiner to these references and facilitate her review, the following brief summaries of some the references are provided:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION; Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1-3, 7, 9-10, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518: This reference is a motion filed by the defendant in the pending case Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc. and alleges that certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518, the parent of the present application, are invalid (the "Motion").

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION; Vutek's Statement of Material Facts as to Which There Can Be No Genuine Dispute in Support of Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity: This reference is a statement of facts submitted by the defendant in the pending case Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc. in support of the defendant's Motion.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION; Memorandum in Support of Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity: This reference is a memorandum filed by the defendant in the pending case Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc. in support of the defendant's invalidity allegations regarding certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518, the parent of the present application.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION; L&P's Memorandum in Opposition to Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity: This reference is a memorandum filed by the plaintiff in the pending case Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc. and asserts that the allegations of

the defendant with respect to invalidity of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518,  
the parent of the present application, are erroneous.


No concession is made that these documents are prior art, and Applicant expressly  
reserves the right to antedate the documents as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Derek C. Stettner', written over a horizontal line.

Derek C. Stettner  
Reg. No. 37,945

File No. 010758-9012-00  
Michael Best & Friedrich LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Suite 3300  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108  
414.271.6560



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB number.

<b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b>  (use as many sheets as necessary)				<b>Complete if Known</b>	
				Application Number	10/827,097
				Filing Date	April 19, 2004
				First Named Inventor	Richard N. Codos
				Group Art Unit	2853
				Examiner Name	Ly T. Tran
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	010758-9012-00

**OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initials		Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc. ), date, pages(s), volume-issue numbers(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION; Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1-3, 7, 9-10, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,518; Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc., Case No. 4:05 CV 788 CDP; United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division; 2 October 2006
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION; Vutek's Statement of Material Facts as to Which There Can Be No Genuine Dispute in Support of Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity; Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc., Case No. 4:05 CV 788 CDP; United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division; 2 October 2006
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION; Memorandum in Support of Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity; Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc., Case No. 4:05 CV 788 CDP; United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division; 2 October 2006
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION; L&P's Memorandum in Opposition to Vutek's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity; Leggett & Platt, Inc. v. Vutek, Inc., Case No. 4:05 CV 788 CDP; United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri, Eastern Division; 1 November 2006

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
-----------------------	--	--------------------	--

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231.  
DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.